



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Joann Smith <jsmithashe@mac.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 7:16 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Thank you for considering this input, Joann Smith
131 M Gunston Dr
Los Angeles
90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

No to Airbnb

1 message

Linda Givvin <lindycar@aol.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 7:17 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org**Subject: CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243**

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not “home sharing” but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it’s insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Linda Givvin
162 S. Canyon View Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Ssilver@shslaborlaw.com <ssilver@shslaborlaw.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 7:37 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Susan Silver
338 S Medio Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Sean Youabian <syoubian@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:00 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I am a very concerned Brentwood homeowner and hope that you will take a brief moment to put yourself in my shoes and hear me out. Quite a few changes are occurring in a city that I have lived in for many years and love dearly. Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Please protect our beautiful and unique town.

Thank you,

S Youabian



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-52;CPC2016--1243

1 message

Barrythurston <thurstongroup@aol.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:01 AM

To: Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, councilmembercedillo@lacity.org,
councilmemberkoretz@lacity.org

Cc: info@brentwoodhomeowners.org

This short term ordinance is a sham and if you and others vote for it you are ignoring the majority of homeowners who reside in L.A.

First, there are laws on the books today that are being ignored now. This will only make our neighborhood situation worse.

1. People living in these homes have no respect for homeowners or our property.
2. Weekends see parties of young people with drinking ,drugs, noise and traffic disruptions.
3. The homes that are doing this are in disrepair, an eyesore for the neighborhood and a potential fire hazard.
4. Our real estate values are going down.
5. Transients and illegals will negatively effect the safety of our community.
6. Children and the elderly are more at risk.

In short, you are not considering our rights as homeowners and lawful abiding citizens but simply looking for additional revenue for the city and yourselves. This is an extremely important issue just as mansionization is which will ruin out neighborhoods. We urge you to stand up for us on this issue.

Sincerely,

Ginny and Barry Thurston
174 N. Carmelina Avenue
LA, Ca. 90049

Sent from my iPad



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Lisa Schauwecker <lschauwecker@hotmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:06 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

I strongly oppose short-term rentals.

Lisa Schauwecker

280 N Kenter Ave

Los Angeles CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

ELIN SCHWARTZ <elinschwartz1@mac.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:21 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Elin Schwartz
300 S Saltair Ave
LA 90049
Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Adena Frank <deanz1007@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:28 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Adena Frank
188 N. Carmelita Avenue
Los Angeles, Calif. 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Tania Greenberg <taniagreenberg@yahoo.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:29 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Dear Council members,

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

As a resident of the city of Brentwood, Los Angeles for the past 16 years, we would prefer to see Airbnb be strictly curbed in our area so that we can continue to be aware of who is living on our streets and protecting our neighborhood.

Sincerely
Roy and Tania Greenberg



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Barbara Mazur <barbara.j.mazur@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:39 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Barbara Mazur
12301 Deerbrook Lane
Los Angeles 90049

Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Dana Walden <Dana.Walden@fox.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:39 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Jennifer Boettcher <lajkb@roadrunner.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:41 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Robert Schlesinger <bobs@rpiseal.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:05 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Robert Schlesinger
1841 San Ysidro Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Benedict Canyon Association
Bef Air Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Merle Mullin <ciaomerle@mullinenterprises.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:11 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host -- true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days -- 180 days or half the year -- which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Ciao,
Merle
Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

JOANNE SOLOV <jsolov@me.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:16 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Sent from my iPad



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Tony Garofalo <tgarof11@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:38 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Thank you,
Tony Garofalo, Deerbrook Lane
Brentwood



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Paula Bernstein <bernsteinpmd@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:45 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Please do not pass this ordinance in its present form. We do not want our residential neighborhood turned into commercial hotel space.

Drs Paula and Uri Bernstein

326 S. Anita Ave.

Los Angeles, CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Bruce Jugan <brucejugan@benefitscafe.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:58 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>
Cc: Brentwood Homeowners Association <info@brentwoodhomeowners.org>

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Bruce Jugan

332 Bonhill Rd.

Los Angeles, CA 90049

Cell (310)428-7300



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Emshwiller, John <john.emshwiller@wsj.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 10:11 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host -- true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days -- 180 days or half the year -- which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards,

John Emshwiller

Brentwood area homeowner

m-213-718-0521



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Richard Rosenstock <knelndav@roadrunner.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 10:24 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Richard Rosenstock
254 N. Saltair Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Deborah Yaeger <dyaegermd@aol.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 10:26 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Dear Council,

Please consider the following issues that affect any Short-Term Rental Ordinance

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host -- true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days -- 180 days or half the year -- which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Deborah Yaeger
Homeowner in Brentwood



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Michael Mahler <memahler@ucla.edu>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 10:28 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org

I am opposed to several provisions of the draft Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the owner and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like AirBnB for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect "the fox to guard the hen house," especially when the results of that same provision adopted by several other cities have resulted in lawsuits.
5. AirBnB pretends that it primarily supports "mom and pop" businesses, individuals wanting to pick up some extra cash. In reality, it is more and more an operation for corporate rentals.

*Michael E Mahler
978 Teakwood Road
Los Angeles 90049*



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Jaclyn Greenberg <jackie.greenbe@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 11:18 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a *primary residence*.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Jaclyn Greenberg
415 N Bonhill Rd
Los Angeles CA 90049

Sent from my iPad



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Roberta Klein <robertak908@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 11:21 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Karen Biers <kbiers1@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 11:25 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

We have stayed in several AirBnB's over the years.

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. Sixty days a year should be the maximum days allowed in any given year.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Linda <lindad100@msn.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 11:43 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Thank you for helping keep our neighborhoods safer.

Linda Dintzer

866 So. Gretna Green Way

Los Angeles, Ca. 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Linda Peterson <lindapeterson306@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 11:45 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Dear Ms. Dickinson and Council members Cedillo, Bonin and Koretz

Having had unpleasant experiences with short-term vacation rentals in my Brentwood neighborhood, I am very concerned about the proposed Short Term Rental Ordinance and would like to point out several issues important to any such Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Linda S. Peterson

306 S. Westgate Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Shelly Wolf <smwolf7@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 12:05 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
 2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
 3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
 4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.
- Sheldon Wolf, 600 Tuallitan Road, LA90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Matthias Mencke <mmencke@siegelgale.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 12:17 PM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host -- true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days -- 180 days or half the year -- which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Matthias Mencke
280 N Kenter Ave
Los Angeles CA 90049

This email is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution, or copying of this email or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify us by calling our Network Operations Center at +1 855 237 8324.

This email and its attachments are CONFIDENTIAL. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Barbara Wold <bbwold@roadrunner.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 1:05 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Cc: Barbara Wold <bbwold@roadrunner.com>

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Nazanine Noghreian <nazen@me.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 1:16 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

To whom it may concern:

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Naze Noghreian
149 North Carmelina Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

John M Cornwall <cornwall@physics.ucla.edu>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 1:24 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

John M. Cornwall
Distinguished Professor of Physics
Department of Physics and Astronomy, UCLA
(310) 825 3162



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Raymond Klein <rklein908@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 1:43 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Ray Klein
908 Kenfield Ave
90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Donald Schireson <donbs@verizon.net>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 2:29 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Paul/BarbaraFreeman <barbarafreeman10@icloud.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 2:38 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

robert wintroub <rwintroub@twc.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 3:24 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Please Allow Airbnb To Operate Freely!!!!

1 message

Julie Blivas <julieblivas@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 4:05 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Dear Sharon,

Being a member of the Airbnb Community has afforded me the opportunity to keep and improve my real estate, while sharing our beautiful city with my guests. I always encourage my guests to eat and shop locally and to return for multiple and longer stays in our wonderful City.

On the flip side, Airbnb has allowed me the ability to travel to unaffordable places and to meet the lovely people that live there.

In my 2 1/2 years as a host, I have only met the most kind, responsible and lovely people thru Airbnb. Never has a guest ever caused a problem or wanted to throw a party.

PLEASE ALLOW AIRBNB TO CONTINUE AS IT HAS.

Best,

Julie Blivas

148 S Westgate Ave.

Los Angeles, CA 90049

310-476-6767

Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Ronald Ching <rksching@yahoo.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 5:45 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Sender Name and Address:

Ronald Ching
118 S Saltair Ave.
Los Angeles CA 90049-4115

Sent from my iPad



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Hoeksma, Gerben <ghoeksma@allenmatkins.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 6:11 PM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Sent from my iPhone

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this electronic e-mail and any accompanying attachment(s) is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail, and delete the original message and all copies from your system. Thank you.



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Robert Blaunstein <rblaunstein@icloud.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 6:43 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

C K Mcgeehan <ckmcgeehan@icloud.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 7:25 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Sent from my iPhone



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Deena Sotto <deenasotto@me.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 7:57 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

I would like to draw your attention to the following important provisions:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host - - true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days - - 180 days or half the year - - which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Greed over quality of life!
Regards,
Eddie and Deena Sotto
133 N Carmelina Ave
Brentwood, 90049

Sent from my iPad



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Chelsey Malk <chelseymalk@gmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 8:41 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not “home sharing” but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it’s insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Best,

Chelsey Malk



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

Schuyler Merrihew <smerrihew@hotmail.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:01 PM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org>
Cc: "info@brentwoodhomeowners.org" <info@brentwoodhomeowners.org>

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host – – true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days – – 180 days or half the year – – which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

Schuyler Merrihew

254 N Bowling Green Way

Los Angeles, CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243

1 message

L.M. Lehman <lmlahman@earthlink.net>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 9:34 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org,
Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org

To make this short and sweet: I am strongly against the Short Term Rental Ordinance. I could cut and paste from any number of arguments against it — because I agree with all of them in their **entirety** — but you've read those already.

The upshot is that I'm sick of our city selling us out, to line its own pockets. Is there **no one** to represent Los Angeles, who cares more about its residents' quality of life than about dollar signs?

Sincerely,
Laurene Lehman
232 S. Carmelina Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90049



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Subject: CF#14-1635-S2; CPC-2016-1243 -- I AM A PROPERTY OWNER THAT STRONGLY OPPOSES THE NEW SHORT TERM RENTAL ORDINANCE BEING CONSIDERED

1 message

Haya Handel <hmhandel@aol.com>

Sun, Dec 4, 2016 at 10:07 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org, Councilmember.Cedillo@lacity.org, Councilmember.Koretz@lacity.org, "BCC:" <info@brentwoodhomeowners.org>

I am a homeowner in Brentwood who pays a lot of property taxes and spends a lot of money maintaining an attractive home in Brentwood, despite the hellish traffic, because I appreciate living in a quiet, single family residential neighborhood where I know my neighbors.

MY HUSBAND, CHILDREN AND MY NEIGHBORS ARE STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THE CURRENT SHORT TERM RENTAL ORDINANCE UNDER CONSIDERATION. YOU ARE INVITING ENDLESS STREAMS OF STRANGERS INTO OUR NEIGHBORHOODS!!!!

Please let me point out several issues important to any Short Term Rental Ordinance:

1. The draft ordinance permits rental of an entire home without the presence of the host and therefore is not "home sharing" but rather is the operation of a hotel/motel. Santa Monica requires the presence of the host -- true home-sharing.
2. The draft ordinance allows short-term rentals for too many days -- 180 days or half the year -- which equates to 3 1/2 days every weekend. Sixty days a year should be the maximum.
3. The provision for 15 days of non-primary residence vacation rentals would make enforcement impossible of the provision limiting rentals to a primary residence.
4. The draft ordinance relies on the cooperation of web sites like airbnb for enforcement, but it's insanity to expect different results from the same provision adopted by several other cities that have been sued by airbnb.

I appreciate your consideration of my concerns.

Sincerely,

Haya Handel
123 South Gunston Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90049