


DOCUMENT FILED
 City Clerk's Office
 No. 14-241-PL
 No. _____
 Certified by 
 Date: 7-18-14

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
 OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
 ROOM 395, CITY HALL
 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

LEAD CITY AGENCY City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT CD 6 - NURY MARTINEZ
--	---

PROJECT TITLE ENV-2013-3286-MND	CASE NO. APCSV-2013-3285-2L-DB; VTT-72425-SL
---	--

PROJECT LOCATION
7004 N LENNOX AVE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION
 A Zone Change and a Density Bonus entitlement request for eight single family homes, 28 feet in height, in conjunction with a small lot subdivision (VTT-72425-SL) on a 11,000 square-foot lot. There will be two parking spaces provided for each unit. The proposed project will entail 14,900 square feet of floor area with 2 levels of residential over one level of parking (garage space).

The Zone Change request is from R1-1 [(T)(Q)RD1.5] to RD1.5.

A Density Bonus entitlement is requested to permit 7 units by right and 1 density bonus units. Of the 8 total units, 1 will be set aside for moderate income. The project application requests two on menu incentives and one off menu incentive as follows:

- 1) A reduction of the required rear yard set back from 15 feet to 6 feet (on menu).
- 2) A reduction of required open space from 125-175 square feet per unit to 0 square feet per unit (on menu).
- 3) A reduction of required residential building separation, per Section 12.21.C.2 of the L.A.M.C., from 10 feet to 2 inches (off-menu).

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY
 Armil Armen Aslanian and Andre Ohanian
 21140 Ventura Blvd.
 Woodland Hills, CA 91364


FINDING:
 The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance
 (CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.

Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-maker may adopt the mitigated negative declaration, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.

THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.

NAME OF PERSON PREPARING THIS FORM Tom Henry	TITLE City Planning Associate	TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 374-5061
--	---	---

ADDRESS 200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012	SIGNATURE (Official) 	DATE AUGUST 13, 2014
---	--	--------------------------------

XVII-10. Utilities (Local Water Supplies - Landscaping)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the cumulative increase in demand on the City's water supplies. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- The project shall comply with Ordinance No. 170,978 (Water Management Ordinance), which imposes numerous water conservation measures in landscape, installation, and maintenance (e.g. use drip irrigation and soak hoses in lieu of sprinklers to lower the amount of water lost to evaporation and overspray, set automatic sprinkler systems to irrigate during the early morning or evening hours to minimize water loss due to evaporation, and water less in the cooler months and during the rainy season).
- In addition to the requirements of the Landscape Ordinance, the landscape plan shall incorporate the following:
 - Weather-based irrigation controller with rain shutoff
 - Matched precipitation (flow) rates for sprinkler heads
 - Drip/microspray/subsurface irrigation where appropriate
 - Minimum irrigation system distribution uniformity of 75 percent
 - Proper hydro-zoning, turf minimization and use of native/drought tolerant plan materials
 - Use of landscape contouring to minimize precipitation runoff

XVII-20. Utilities (Local Water Supplies - All New Construction)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the cumulative increase in demand on the City's water supplies. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- If conditions dictate, the Department of Water and Power may postpone new water connections for this project until water supply capacity is adequate.
- Install high-efficiency toilets (maximum 1.28 gpf), including dual-flush water closets, and high-efficiency urinals (maximum 0.5 gpf), including no-flush or waterless urinals, in all restrooms as appropriate.
- Install restroom faucets with a maximum flow rate of 1.5 gallons per minute.
- A separate water meter (or submeter), flow sensor, and master valve shutoff shall be installed for all landscape irrigation uses.
- Single-pass cooling equipment shall be strictly prohibited from use. Prohibition of such equipment shall be indicated on the building plans and incorporated into tenant lease agreements. (Single-pass cooling refers to the use of potable water to extract heat from process equipment, e.g. vacuum pump, ice machines, by passing the water through equipment and discharging the heated water to the sanitary wastewater system.)

XVII-40. Utilities (Local Water Supplies - New Residential)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the cumulative increase in demand on the City's water supplies. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- Install no more than one showerhead per shower stall, having a flow rate no greater than 2.0 gallons per minute.
- Install and utilize only high-efficiency clothes washers (water factor of 6.0 or less) in the project, if proposed to be provided in either individual units and/or in a common laundry room(s). If such appliance is to be furnished by a tenant, this requirement shall be incorporated into the lease agreement, and the applicant shall be responsible for ensuring compliance.
- Install and utilize only high-efficiency Energy Star-rated dishwashers in the project, if proposed to be provided. If such appliance is to be furnished by a tenant, this requirement shall be incorporated into the lease agreement, and the applicant shall be responsible for ensuring compliance.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
INITIAL STUDY
and CHECKLIST
(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)


LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT: CD 6 - NURY MARTINEZ	DATE:
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of City Planning		
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2013-3286-MND	RELATED CASES: APCSV-2013-3285-ZC-DB, VTT-72425-SL	
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.:	<input type="checkbox"/> Does have significant changes from previous actions. <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SUBDIVISION OF A 11,000 SQ. FT LOT 8-SMALL LOT SUBDIVISION, ZONE CHANGE, AND DENSITY BONUS		
ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Zone Change and a Density Bonus entitlement request for eight single family homes, 28 feet in height, in conjunction with a small lot subdivision (VTT-72425-SL) on a 11,000 square-foot lot. There will be two parking spaces provided for each unit. The proposed project will entail 14,900 square feet of floor area with 2 levels of residential over one level of parking (garage space). The Zone Change request is from R1-1 [(T)(Q)RD1.5] to RD1.5. A Density Bonus entitlement is requested to permit 7 units by right and 1 density bonus units. Of the 8 total units, 1 will be set aside for moderate income. The project application requests two on menu incentives and one off menu incentive as follows: 1) A reduction of the required rear yard set back from 15 feet to 6 feet (on menu). 2) A reduction of required open space from 125-175 square feet per unit to 0 square feet per unit (on menu). 3) A reduction of required residential building separation, per Section 12.21.C.2 of the L.A.M.C., from 10 feet to 2 inches (off-menu).		
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS: The subject property is a level, vacant, rectangular-shaped lot, having a frontage of 84 feet along the east side of Lennox Avenue, a designated collector street. Land uses to the north and south along the west side of Lennox Avenue is multiple residential in the RD1.5-1 zone. Across Lennox to the north is multiple residential in the R3-1 zone, and to the south institutional uses (assisted living, and the Mid-Valley YMCA). The project site abuts single family residential to the east in the R1-1 zone.		
PROJECT LOCATION: 7004 N LENNOX AVE		
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: VAN NUYS - NORTH SHERMAN OAKS STATUS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does Conform to Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT Conform to Plan	AREA PLANNING COMMISSION: SOUTH VALLEY	CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL: VAN NUYS
EXISTING ZONING: (T)(Q)RD1.5-1	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING: 1 du/1,500 sq.ft.	

GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: LOW MEDIUM II RESIDENTIAL	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION:	LA River Adjacent: NO
	PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY: 1 du/1,375 sq.ft.	

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

	City Planning Associate	(818) 374-5061
Signature	Title	Phone

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> AESTHETICS	<input type="checkbox"/> GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	<input type="checkbox"/> POPULATION AND HOUSING
<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SERVICES
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> RECREATION
<input type="checkbox"/> BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> LAND USE AND PLANNING	<input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC
<input type="checkbox"/> CULTURAL RESOURCES	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL RESOURCES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS
<input type="checkbox"/> GEOLOGY AND SOILS	<input type="checkbox"/> NOISE	<input type="checkbox"/> MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)

Background

PROPONENT NAME:

Armil Armen Aslanian and Andre Ohanian

PHONE NUMBER:

(818) 825-0027

APPLICANT ADDRESS:

21140 Ventura Blvd.
Woodland Hills, CA 91364

AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:

Department of City Planning

DATE SUBMITTED:

10/18/2013

PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

I. AESTHETICS				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			✓
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			✓
c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?		✓	
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?		✓	
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES				
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?			✓
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?			✓
c.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?			✓
d.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			✓
e.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			✓
III. AIR QUALITY				
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		✓	
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		✓	
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		✓	
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		✓	
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?		✓	
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			✓
b.	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?			✓
c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?			✓
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?			✓
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			✓
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?			✓
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES				