



Application:

APPEAL APPLICATION

This application is to be used for any appeals authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) for discretionary actions administered by the Department of City Planning.

1. APPELLANT BODY/CASE INFORMATION

Appellant Body:

- Area Planning Commission
 City Planning Commission
 City Council
 Director of Planning

Regarding Case Number: CPC20153423GPA-ZC-CU-CUB-ZV CBOA:20153424MND

Project Address: 10306-10440 N. Sepulveda Blvd. 15342-15354 W. San Jose St.

Final Date to Appeal: 4-25-16

- Type of Appeal:
- Appeal by Applicant
 - Appeal by a person, other than the applicant, claiming to be aggrieved
 - Appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety

2. APPELLANT INFORMATION

Appellant's name (print): DEAN ANDERSON

Company: N/A

Mailing Address: 10355 COLUMBUS AVE.

City: MISSION HILLS State: CA. Zip: 91345

Telephone: (818) 422-9119 E-mail: LOWSUNSPTS@GMAIL.COM

- Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company?

Self Other: _____

- Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position? Yes No

3. REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION

Representative/Agent name (if applicable): _____

Company: _____

Mailing Address: _____

City: _____ State: CA. Zip: _____

Telephone: (818) _____ E-mail: _____

Office: Van Nuys
 Applicant Copy
 Application Invoice No: 29354



Scan this QR Code® with a barcode reading app on your Smartphone. Bookmark page for future reference.

LA Department of Building and Safety
 VN LAUR 203078029 4/25/2016 2:28:24 PM

Receipt #: 0203312912 \$106.80

NOTICE: The staff of the Planning I
 your application, reg

Total: \$106.80

Check \$106.80

ull and impartial consideration to
 to represent you.

This filing fee is required by Chapter 1, Article 9, L.A.M.C.

Applicant: ANDERSON, DEAN (C:818-4729119)
Representative:
Project Address: 10306 N SEPULVEDA BLVD, 91345

NOTES: Second appeal

GPC-2015-3423-GPA-ZC-CU-CUB-ZV-MSC-SPR-1A			
Item	Fee	%	Charged Fee
Appeal by Aggrieved Parties Other than the Original Applicant *	\$89.00	100%	\$89.00
Case Total			\$89.00

Item	Charged Fee
*Fees Subject to Surcharges	\$89.00
Fees Not Subject to Surcharges	\$0.00
Plan & Land Use Fees Total	\$89.00
Expediting Fee	\$0.00
OSS Surcharge (2%)	\$1.78
Development Surcharge (6%)	\$5.34
Operating Surcharge (7%)	\$6.23
General Plan Maintenance Surcharge (5%)	\$4.45
Grand Total	\$106.80
Total Invoice	\$106.80
Total Overpayment Amount	\$0.00
Total Paid (this amount must equal the sum of all checks)	\$106.80

LA Department of Building and Safety
 VN LAUR 203078029 4/25/2016 2:28:24 PM

PLAN & LAND USE \$106.80

Sub Total: \$106.80

Receipt #: 0203312912

Council District: 7
 Plan Area: Mission Hills - Panorama City - North Hills
 Processed by VIDAL, ANNA on 04/25/2016

Signature: *Anna Vidal*

①

I AM FILING AN APPEAL DO TO

THIS VERY LARGE PROJECT BEING APPROVED WITHOUT ANY CONSIDERATION FOR STAKE HOLDERS WHO LIVE NEXT TO THIS PROJECT. THIS PROJECT WILL EFFECT UNNECESSARY HARD SHIPS, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, TRAFFIC, NOISE, POLLUTION, CRIME, SAFETY, ZONING, 24 RETAIL OPERATION, POSSIBLE HOTEL TRASH CAN LOCATION NOT TO MENTION A TWO STORY DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL LOOK OVER NEIGHBORS PROPERTY. THE APPLICANT HAS CREATED A SELF IMPOSED HARDSHIP BUT HAS NOT LISTED ANY REASONS FOR THIS HARDSHIP.

SPECIFIC POINTS AT ISSUE

②

1. 15342-15354 WEST SAN JOSE ST. CAN NOW BE AN ENTERTAINMENT ESTABLISHMENT AS WELL AS A HOTEL DO TO ZONING CHANGES THAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED
2. CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 24 HOUR OPENS UP THE DOOR FOR ANYTHING WITHOUT RESTRICTIONS
3. APPROVED ZONE VARIANCE WOULD ALLOW DELIVERY VEHICLES TO ACCESS SHOPPING CENTER FROM ALLEY ON COLUMBUS AVE. APPLICANT STATED AT MISSION HILLS COUNCIL MEETING THAT THIS ALLEY WOULD NOT BE USED, APPLICANT LIES TO USE, THERE IS NOT TRAFFIC LIGHT ON COLUMBUS AVE & DEVENSHIRE
4. PER PAGE C-7 30, TRASH ENCLOSURES LOCATED NOT LESS THAN 60 FEET FROM ANY R1 ZONED PROPERTY UNLESS TRASH ENCLOSURE IS WITHIN THE EXTERIOR WALL OF A BUILDING,
5. TRAFFIC STUDY IS FLAWED.

How Am I AGGRIEVED BY THE ^②
DECISION:

1. I LIVE DIRECTLY BEHIND THIS PROJECT
2. THERE ARE NO TRAFFIC LIGHTS ON COLUMBUS OR SAN JOSE IN EFFECT TRAPPING US IN OUR HOMES.
3. THIS PROJECT WILL EFFECT UNNECESSARY HARDSHIPS, CREATE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES TRAFFIC, NOISE, POLLUTION, CRIME, SAFETY ZONING, 24 HOUR RETAIL OPERATION, TRASH CAN ENCLOSURE LOCATION, TWO STORY DEVELOPEMENT OVERA LOOKING OUR HOMES.
4. CRIME
5. NOISE
6. POLLUTION
7. QUALITY OF LIFE

WHY DECISION MAKER

ERRED OR ABUSED THEIR

DISCRETION

THE DECISION IS NOT SUPPORTED BY THE FINDINGS
AND THE FINDINGS ARE NOT SUPPORTED BY THE EVIDENCE

1. SAN JOSE PROPERTY CAN NOW
BE LIVE ENTERTAINMENT OR
CONSTRUCTED AS A HOTEL.

2. THERE IS NO SPECIFIC PLAN.

3. APPLICANT HAS CREATED A SELF
IMPOSED HARD SHIP WITHOUT LISTING
ANY REASONS FOR THIS
HARD SHIP TO BE GRANTED.

5. DELIVERIES THRU A ALLEY
WITH NO STOP LIGHT IN
A RESIDENTIAL AREA

6. ZONING CHANGES

7. SEE PAGES 1 - 3 FOR DETAILED
INFORMATION