

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
CITY CLERK

SHANNON D. HOPPE
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

City of Los Angeles
CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Election Division
555 Ramirez Street, Space 300
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 978-0444
FAX: (213) 978-0376

JINNY PAK
DIVISION MANAGER

clerk.lacity.org

March 31, 2017

The Los Angeles City Council
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR
LEMAY STREET AND NOBLE AVENUE NO. 3 LIGHTING DISTRICT
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 16-0900-S58

Honorable Councilmembers:


In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Lemay Street and Noble Avenue No. 3 Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballots delivered to my office by the final hearing date of March 22, 2017.

The Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District received equally weighted "yes" and "no" ballots. Since the "no" vote did not receive a majority of weighted ballots, the City may impose the proposed additional annual assessment for the District. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

1. DENY the protests and confirm the assessments.
2. ADOPT the Ordinance levying the assessments and ordering the maintenance of the lighting system.

Sincerely,


HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) SS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that four ballots were submitted on or before March 22, 2017, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Lemay Street and Noble Avenue No. 3 Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 16-0900-S58. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 31 day of March 2017.

Sincerely,


HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Lemay Street and Noble Avenue No. 3 Lighting District**

**Election # 4565
Council File # 16-0900-S58**

Final Public Hearing March 22, 2017

Ballot Tally

Assmnt No.	County APN	Owner	Assessment Weight	Ballot Vote Y/N	Weighted Vote Yes	Weighted Vote No	No Vote/ Challenged
1	2235-006-006	LILY N GEVORKIAN	\$74.81	N		\$ 74.81	
2	2235-006-007	KIRK J BLANKENSHIP	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
3	2235-006-008	JOSE MANZO AND ELIAS MANZO	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
4	2235-006-009	ROBERTO QUEZADAS HORTA TRUST, ET AL	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
5	2235-006-010	MARILYN COHEN TRUST	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
6	2235-006-011	ELIEL T AND KRYSTAL M SWINTON	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
7	2235-006-012	GREG LOGMANYAN TRUST	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
8	2235-006-013	FRANK I LIRA TRUST, ET AL C/O MARIA MORENO	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
9	2235-006-014	ANDREW P GROSS	\$74.81	Y	\$ 74.81		
10	2235-007-023	MARVIN J SOUTHARD CNSRV, ET AL	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
11	2235-007-016	GAIL BEARDEN	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
12	2235-007-017	STANLEY JR AND CHRIS A HOULBERG	\$74.81	N		\$ 74.81	
13	2235-007-014	SAMVEL APIKYAN	\$74.81		Not Cast	Not Cast	
14	2235-007-026	MADHAVARAO MITTAPALLI TRUST, ET AL	\$74.81	Y	\$ 74.81	Not Cast	
		TOTAL	\$1,047.34		\$ 149.62	\$ 149.62	
14		TOTAL VOTES		4	2	2	0

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Lemay Street and Noble Avenue No. 3 Lighting District**

Election # 4565

Final Public Hearing March 22, 2017

Council File # 16-0900-S58

Voting/Ballot Summary			
Vote	Weighted Total	Assessment Percent of Votes Cast	Number of Ballots
Yes	\$149.62	50.00%	2
No	\$149.62	50.00%	2
No Vote			0
Challenged			0

Assessment District Summary			
	Total	Voted	Percent
Parcels	14	4	29%
Assessment	\$1,047.34	\$299.24	29%