

Google Groups

Fwd: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

Zina Cheng

Mar 7, 2017 8:51 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

Please Cc sharon.dickinson@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.

Zina Cheng, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
(213) 978-1537
zina.cheng@lacity.org

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sarah Nolan** <sarah@theabundanttable.org>

Date: Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:46 AM

Subject: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

To: plum.committee@lacity.org, edwin.grover@lacity.org, zina.cheng@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Subject: Re: **Council File Number 16-1411-S1** - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

In addition to the points below, I oppose the development of the PIMA project or any brick and mortar project on this piece of land that continues to create detrimental environmental impact on the land itself and the community around it.

We live in a current political climate where we need spaces that are community oriented, focused on our own sustainability as a city and not dependent on cheap imports and low wage jobs.

This property has the potential to be something Los Angeles can be proud of and not ashamed that it valued the large corporate interests and a myth of good jobs over investment in a community food system that brings meaningful work, community growth and good food for families.

Please reconsider any development on this land other than development that reflects the original vision the Food Bank had for the property to invite the community together to create something beautiful and good.

I oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community. The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was

undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank you,

Sarah Nolan

The Abundant Table Episcopal Ministry

93001

Google Groups

Fwd: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

Zina Cheng

Mar 7, 2017 8:57 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

Please Cc sharon.dickinson@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.

Zina Cheng, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
(213) 978-1537
zina.cheng@lacity.org

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ivonne Rodriguez - NAI** <ivonne.rodriquez.usc@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:16 AM

Subject: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

To: edwin.grover@lacity.org, zina.cheng@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

I strongly oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(Kindly refer to Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This

increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(Kindly refer to Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(Kindly refer to Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community.

The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank you,

Ivonne Rodriguez

Resident, Home-owner, mother, worker and student in CD9

Los Angeles, CA 90037

Google Groups

Fwd: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

Zina Cheng

Mar 7, 2017 8:57 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

Please Cc sharon.dickinson@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.

Zina Cheng, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
(213) 978-1537
zina.cheng@lacity.org

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kristen Jackson** <kristenjacks@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

To: edwin.grover@lacity.org, zina.cheng@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

We oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-

919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium

trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community.

The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs are so desperately needed and that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank You.

Sincerely,
A concerned and mindful citizen and MTA Employee
Kristen Jackson
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Kristen Jackson
Contact:323.509.4794
kristenjacks@gmail.com

life is but a dream...

Google Groups

Fwd: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

Sharon Dickinson

Mar 7, 2017 9:28 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

***Please Cc zina,cheng@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.**

--
Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
Ph. (213) 978-1074
Fax (213) 978-1040
sharon.dickinson@lacity.org



With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away. Available for download from Google Play and App Store.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kristen Jackson** <kristenjacks@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

To: edwin.grover@lacity.org, zina.cheng@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

We oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-

919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community.

The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs are so desperately needed and that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank You.

Sincerely,
A concerned and mindful citizen and MTA Employee
Kristen Jackson
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Kristen Jackson
Contact:323.509.4794
kristenjacks@gmail.com

life is but a dream...

Google Groups

Fwd: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

Sharon Dickinson

Mar 7, 2017 9:28 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

Please Cc zina,cheng@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.

--
Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
Ph. (213) 978-1074
Fax (213) 978-1040
sharon.dickinson@lacity.org



With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away. Available for download from Google Play and App Store.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kristen Jackson** <kristenjacks@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:09 AM

Subject: Re: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

To: edwin.grover@lacity.org, zina.cheng@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

We oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-

919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community.

The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs are so desperately needed and that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank You.

Sincerely,
A concerned and mindful citizen and MTA Employee
Kristen Jackson
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Kristen Jackson
Contact:323.509.4794
kristenjacks@gmail.com

life is but a dream...

Google Groups

Fwd: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

Sharon Dickinson

Mar 7, 2017 9:29 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

***Please Cc zina,cheng@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.**

--

Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
Ph. (213) 978-1074
Fax (213) 978-1040
sharon.dickinson@lacity.org



With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away. Available for download from Google Play and App Store.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ivonne Rodriguez - NAI** <ivonne.rodriquez.usc@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:16 AM

Subject: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

To: edwin.grover@lacity.org, zina.cheng@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

I strongly oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project,

despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(Kindly refer to Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This

increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(Kindly refer to Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(Kindly refer to Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community.

The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank you,

Ivonne Rodriguez

Resident, Home-owner, mother, worker and student in CD9

Los Angeles, CA 90037

Google Groups

Fwd: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

Sharon Dickinson

Mar 7, 2017 9:30 AM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

***Please Cc zina,cheng@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.**

--
Sharon Dickinson, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
Ph. (213) 978-1074
Fax (213) 978-1040
sharon.dickinson@lacity.org



With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away. Available for download from Google Play and App Store.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sarah Nolan** <sarah@theabundanttable.org>

Date: Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:46 AM

Subject: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

To: plum.committee@lacity.org, edwin.grover@lacity.org, zina.cheng@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Subject: Re: **Council File Number 16-1411-S1** - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

In addition to the points below, I oppose the development of the PIMA project or any brick and mortar project on this piece of land that continues to create detrimental environmental impact on the land itself and the community around it.

We live in a current political climate where we need spaces that are community oriented, focused on our own sustainability as a city and not dependent on cheap imports and low wage jobs.

This property has the potential to be something Los Angeles can be proud of and not ashamed that it valued the large corporate interests and a myth of good jobs over investment in a community food system that brings meaningful work, community growth and good food for families.

Please reconsider any development on this land other than development that reflects the original vision the Food Bank had for the property to invite the community together to create something beautiful and good.

I oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community. The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank you,

Sarah Nolan

The Abundant Table Episcopal Ministry

93001

Google Groups

Re: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

Zina Cheng

Mar 7, 2017 1:44 PM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Please be aware that the correct email address for your written response is clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org
For your convenience, I have included the correct email address for you.

The Office of the City Clerk is in receipt of your comment. It is now included in the public record.

NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF

Please Cc sharon.dickinson@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.

Zina Cheng, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
(213) 978-1537
zina.cheng@lacity.org

On Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 1:37 PM, nirvan <nirvan@nirvan.com> wrote:

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

I'm writing as a 17 year resident to oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR).

As a filmmaker behind viral movies like "Caine's Arcade", and as a board member of CicLAvia, I have seen the power a local story can have, to transform the way we think of our city, and to inspire others around the world. I see similar potential in how the story of this parcel is told.

I want to emphasize that the rich, deep, and complex history of this parcel gives it a unique and powerful potential to shape the future story of Los Angeles in a very positive way (as a farm). But it can also become a negative story, and that of a monumental and mismanaged opportunity that doesn't take into account the full environmental impact of PIMA's proposal.

Your decision on the FEIR will forever impact how this story is written.

Many are paying attention now, but even more will be paying attention during the next chapter, so it is important that we all do our part to make sure that this story is written properly.

The FEIR needs to properly address the environmental impact, and the viable potential alternative use of this parcel to create open space and a community food hub for South Central, also must be considered.

Currently, this is not the case, and so I'm joining the call to oppose the FEIR, specifically due to the following deficiencies:

- 1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day**, most of them being small and

medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day.

Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community.

The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element." For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces."

Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank you,
Nirvan Mullick

Filmmaker, Caine's Arcade
Founder, Imagination.org
Board Member, CicLAvia

Los Angeles, CA 90013

--
nirvan.com

Director, Caine's Arcade
Founder, Imagination.org

Google Groups

Re: Council File Number 16-1411- S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR

nirvan

Mar 7, 2017 1:53 PM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Resending to corrected email (clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org) - thanks!

...

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

I'm writing as a 17 year resident to oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012- 919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012- 920-EIR).

As a filmmaker behind viral movies like "Caine's Arcade", and as a board member of CicLAvia, I have seen the power a local story can have, to transform the way we think of our city, and to inspire others around the world. I see similar potential in how the story of this parcel is told.

I want to emphasize that the rich, deep, and complex history of this parcel gives it a unique and powerful potential to shape the future story of Los Angeles in a very positive way (as a farm). But it can also become a negative story, and that of a monumental and mismanaged opportunity that doesn't take into account the full environmental impact of PIMA's proposal.

Your decision on the FEIR will forever impact how this story is written.

Many are paying attention now, but even more will be paying attention during the next chapter, so it is important that we all do our part to make sure that this story is written properly.

The FEIR needs to properly address the environmental impact, and the viable potential alternative use of this parcel to create open space and a community food hub for South Central, also must be considered.

Currently, this is not the case, and so I'm joining the call to oppose the FEIR, specifically due to the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day.

Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16- 17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community.

The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element." For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces."

Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank you,
Nirvan Mullick

Filmmaker, Caine's Arcade
Founder, Imagination.org
Board Member, CicLAvia

Los Angeles, CA 90013

--
nirvan.com

Director, Caine's Arcade

Founder, Imagination.org

Google Groups

Re: Council File Number 16-1411-S1 - Please grant the appeal of the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for 4051 S. Alameda, the PIMA project - Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR

Zina Cheng

Mar 7, 2017 5:55 PM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Please be aware that the correct email address for your written response is clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org. For your convenience, I have included the correct email address for you.

The Office of the City Clerk is in receipt of your comment. It is now included in the public record.

*****NOTE TO LA CITY STAFF*****

*****Please Cc sharon.dickinson@lacity.org on all emails related to PLUM Committee.*****

Zina Cheng, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee

City of Los Angeles, Office of the City Clerk
Council and Public Services
(213) 978-1537
zina.cheng@lacity.org

On Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 5:34 PM, Emile <emileporee@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Chair Huizar and Members Cedillo, Harris-Dawson, Price and Englander:

We oppose the FEIR for the parcel at 4015 S. Alameda, submitted by the PIMA Corporation (Case No. AA-2012-919-PMLA CEQA No. ENV-2012-920-EIR) because of the following deficiencies:

1. The Final EIR does not address the lack of data for the applicant's claim that their operation would result in only 75 proposed truck trips per day, most of them being small and medium trucks, with only 31 being diesel (down from 264 truck trips proposed by the previous project, despite a larger operational footprint with the new plans). There are no studies nor statistics to back up this new lower, arbitrarily estimated, and unenforceable number. The applicant states that the current number of truck trips for their operation is 33, but, again, there are no verifiable data to support this.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

2. The final EIR increases the Project's number of truck docks from 22 to 30. When these docks are utilized at maximum capacity production ("worst case scenario"), they would result in far more than 75 truck trips per day. Additionally, the FEIR states that most of the 75 trucks would be small and medium trucks, yet 18 of the 30 loading docks are designed to accommodate long, multiple axle trucks, which must be assumed to be diesel trucks. This increase in number of the loading docks, including large docks, will

most likely increase the Project's estimated truck traffic, including an increase in diesel trucks as well as small and medium trucks. These potential and likely increases are not reflected in the traffic impact analysis or health risk analysis, thus understating the Project's impacts.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-2017.)

3. Because of the inadequate truck trip analysis and unenforceable projections and an understatement of impacts related to the number of employees, truck and delivery traffic and air emissions, the actual impacts on air quality cannot be truly known. The health risk assessment vastly understates the Project's health impacts with regard to diesel particulate matter generation and must be revised. A new air quality analysis must be done, based on a more accurate truck trip analysis.

(See Dr. Tom Williams' report, sent to your committee on 2-16-17.)

4. The Final EIR did not consider the submitted alternative to create a community food hub, proposed by the South Central Farmers. This alternative addresses the community's needs for green space, "project objectives" of local job creation, and access points for local, healthy, fresh produce to enter South Central LA and the larger LA community. The City has an opportunity to show civic leadership and political will by facilitating this community based solution in creating green, sustainable jobs that serve both the local community and the region in an area that is historically underserved in open space per capita.

5. The Final EIR does not follow the City of LA's policies, as outlined in its "Open Space and Conservation Element". For example, policy 6.1.1 states, "Consider appropriate methodologies to protect significant remaining open spaces." Policy 6.1.6 states, "Consider preservation of private land open space to the maximum extent feasible. In areas where open space values determine the character of the community, development should occur with special consideration of these characteristics." A methodology to protect the parcel in question could be to use Quimby funds to acquire it, or negotiate with a land trust entity. As an undeveloped 13 acre parcel, it is a significant remaining open space. The character of the community was undeniably expressed when the South Central Farm operated between 1992 and 2006 and should be re-established, per this policy.

Policy 6.4.2 states, "Encourage increases in parks and other open space lands where deficiencies exist, such as South East and South Central Los Angeles and neighborhoods developed prior to the adoption of the State Quimby Act in 1965." Re-establishment of a community farm/food hub would directly address this deficiency in a specifically named part of the City.

Policy 6.4.7 states, "Consider as part of the City's open space inventory of pedestrian streets, community gardens, which are accessible to the public, even though such elements fall outside the conventional definitions of 'open space.' This will help address the open space and outdoor recreation needs of communities that are currently deficient in these resources."

A community farm/food hub may not be considered "conventional" yet this policy directs the City to not exclude it from consideration if it would address stated deficiencies.

In conclusion, please grant the appeal by the South Central Farmers and deny the FEIR for the PIMA Project.

Thank you, Emile Poree, Los Angeles, 90042

~*~ emile