



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: council file 17-0090-S8

1 message

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>

Thu, Sep 26, 2019 at 8:05 AM

To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elde Stewart** <eldestewart@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2019 at 4:46 AM

Subject: council file 17-0090-S8

To: <CITYCLERK@lacity.org>

I'm a home owner in Chatsworth and I Protest I Do Not want a 7 story apartment building built for the Homeless just 500 feet from the school. What are you people thinking? Go elsewhere.
Elde Stewart [10026 Glade Ave. Chatsworth CA. 91311](https://www.google.com/maps/place/10026+Glade+Ave,+Chatsworth,+CA+91311)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Build the homeless apartment elsewhere

1 message

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>

Thu, Sep 26, 2019 at 10:22 AM

To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lynn Ford** <inhon.ford@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2019 at 8:38 AM

Subject: Build the homeless apartment elsewhere

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern,

I am writing a letter out of concern for the youth of Chatsworth Park Elementary. Building a homeless apartment so close to the school is outrageous, you are treating those young lives with disrespect. You are fully aware of the situations that might arise from building the apartments so close to young lives. Reconsider the placement of these apartments.

PS. Just recently my car was broken into I do not feel safe either.



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: #17-0090-S8

1 message

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>

Thu, Sep 26, 2019 at 10:54 AM

To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Neighborhood Watch Chats PR Georgia** <georgia17a23@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2019 at 10:52 AM

Subject: #17-0090-S8

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

I am in opposition of the proposed supportive housing project location of "Topanga Apts." @10243 Topanga Cyn., Chatsworth, CA 91311. Following are my reasons why I believe this location is wrong. 1. There are several schools & child care centers/schools w/in walking distance. (i.e. Chatsworth Park Elem., Monarch Christian School, Oakridge, & Montessori Chatsworth to name a few). This could reduce school attendance. These are sensitive areas, putting children may be put @ risk to the behaviors of addicted/mentally unstable residents of this supportive housing project. Kids could be offered drugs, alcohol, flavored tobacco, THC candies & food w/THC in it, including "gummies" that look like innocent candy for kids. Not to mention, if any of the supportive housing residents are a suicide risk, that puts our kids @ risk. 2. My husband & I personally pick up trash DAILY & drug paraphernalia on the streets of Chatsworth. Many of the people littering are mentally unstable or intoxicated trashing our community & putting the residents at risk of diseases we haven't seen in years. 3. This proposed supportive housing project is walking distance from a "pot shop," Strain Balboa Caregivers, which may enabling residents to continue w/their substance abuse. 4. Any of the residents of this supportive housing that are struggling w/substance abuse, may easily obtain alcohol because 3 out of the 4 corners @ the proposed location (intersection of Topanga Cyn & Devonshire) SELL ALCOHOL! This enables the residents to continue their abusive behavior & puts everyone at risk. 5. Adding a multi-unit complex of any size @ this location will increase NOISE to nearby businesses & existing single family residences. This is would be a nuisance. 6. Many chemically addicted people smoke. Smoking will increase the risk (especially if they are intoxicated) of fire & health of nearby businesses and residents. 7. The proposed location does not have a marked cross-walk to cross Topanga Cyn. The nearby intersection of Topanga Cyn & Devonshire, has marked crosswalks on all sides, EXCEPT the side WHERE this projected would be located. 8. The project does not have enough parking spaces. Many of the residents probably have been living in their vehicles, so where do those vehicles go after they get housing here? 9. Has a traffic study been doing on Topanga Cyn. during peak commuter hours (i.e. 6am-8am, 3pm-6pm)? 10. CD12 area, in recent past has had a MASSIVE population growth w/new housing of all kinds. HOWEVER, we have NEVER received ADDED POLICE officers to our Basic Car 17A23. We don't need more people, as the LAPD Devonshire Basic Car 17A23 area is "stretched thin" as it is, & LAPD also was given the task of patrolling our local Chatsworth train/bus station too. How much more is going to be added to the responsibility of an already strained police department? 11. The location for supportive housing would be best near a government (city or county) facility (i.e. Chatsworth Courthouse, near the Council Office, near the Dept. of Consumer Affairs., or ideally near the Department of Toxic Substances Control on Oakdale, in Chatsworth., etc) & NOT in a residential, congested area w/inadequate parking or in an area where small businesses are & their employees are afraid to come/go to work, & can't park because "previously homeless" people have to park their vehicles on the street because there is inadequate parking @ the supportive housing. CD12 has more commercial businesses than any other council district. 12. This proposed supportive housing is very tall, & not in keeping w/the Chatsworth plan or culture. The planned rooftop patio/garden would invade privacy of nearby, already existing, single family homes & businesses. 13. The intersection of Topanga Cyn/Devonshire (nearby where the supportive housing project is proposed) has had a history of VERY BAD accidents. Additional residents in this area put them @ risk of death or injury if they are sitting or walking around the area due to the high number of traffic & past accidents. 12. The CITY was very "sneaky" & "slipped this project in" during a time of change for CD12 w/our interim Councilperson Smith & new Councilperson John Lee transitioning. I can't help but think the CITY did this intentionally, knowing our local council office was very preoccupied w/all things leading to this change & knowing that CD12 had not yet found the perfect place for supportive housing. The CITY took advantage of us, & DID NOT ALLOW PROPER TIME for PUBLIC COMMENT. The city "kicked us while we were down." 13. It's my understanding that people w/a violent crime history will not be allowed to stay in the supportive housing. This is misleading, because #1, not all have been caught doing a violent crime, doesn't guarantee that they have never done it. #2, It's misleading because Prop. 47 re-categorized some nonviolent offenses as misdemeanors, rather than felonies, as

they had previously been categorized. #17-0090-S8