

**ERRATA TO THE FINAL  
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
FOR  
THE HOLLYWOOD & GOWER PROJECT**

---

State Clearinghouse Number: **200801113**

**Prepared by:**

Los Angeles Department of City Planning

**July 2019**

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Correction of Crimes Per Capita Calculations .....	2

---

# ERRATA TO THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE HOLLYWOOD & GOWER PROJECT

---

## 1. Introduction

This Errata makes minor technical corrections and clarifications to the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Hollywood & Gower project (Project). These EIR modifications clarify and refine the EIR and provide supplemental information to the City decision-makers and the public. CEQA requires recirculation of a Draft EIR only when “significant new information” is added to a Draft EIR after public notice of the availability of the Draft EIR has occurred (refer to California Public Resources Code Section 21092.1 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5), but before the EIR is certified. Section 15088.5 of the CEQA Guidelines specifically states:

*New information added to an EIR is not “significant” unless the EIR is changed in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative) that the project’s proponents have declined to implement. “Significant new information” requiring recirculation includes, for example, a disclosure showing that:*

- A new significant environmental impact would result from the project or from a new mitigation measure proposed to be implemented.*
- A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact would result unless mitigation measures are adopted to reduce the impact to a level of insignificance.*
- A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed would clearly lessen the significant environmental impacts of the project, but the project’s proponents decline to adopt it.*
- The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded.*

CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5 also provides that “[r]ecirculation is not required where the new information added to the EIR merely clarifies or amplifies or makes insignificant modifications in an adequate EIR... A decision not to recirculate an EIR must be supported by substantial evidence in the administrative record.”

The information added pursuant to this Errata does not disclose a new significant environmental impact that would result from the Project or from a new mitigation measure or substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact. Nor does it contain significant new information that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse effect environmental effect of the Project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect that the Applicant has declined to adopt. Additionally, information provided in this Errata does not present a feasible Project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed in the EIR. All of the information added pursuant to this Errata merely clarifies, corrects, adds to, or makes insignificant modifications to information in the EIR. The City has reviewed the information in this Errata and has determined that it does not change any of the basic findings or conclusions of the EIR, does not constitute “significant new information” pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5, and does not require recirculation of the Draft EIR.

## 2. Correction of Crimes Per Capita Calculations

Following publication of the Final EIR, several minor typographical errors in the Draft EIR’s calculation of crimes per capita (under Public Services—Police Protection) have been identified; however, these errors did not affect the Draft EIR’s finding that the crimes per capita figure in Hollywood was comparable to the Citywide crimes per capita figure. Moreover, the crimes per capita figures were provided in the Draft EIR as part of its description of the environmental setting of the Project, and did not provide any basis for analyzing whether the Project would result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities. Accordingly, the following sections of the Draft EIR are corrected (deletions are shown in ~~strikethrough~~, additions are shown in **bold underline**).

Draft EIR, Section IV.K, Public Services, 2.Police Protection, Page IV.K-21, revise the first full paragraph and Table IV.K.2-1 as follows:

Table IV.K.2-1 provides a comparison of the Hollywood Community Police Station service area and citywide data regarding crimes as reported by the LAPD based on only residential populations. As shown therein, based on the most recent data made available by the LAPD Community Relationship Division and COMPSTAT for the year 2016, approximately 4,450 crimes were reported within the Hollywood Community Police Station service area and 107,570 crimes were

reported citywide. Based on the residential service population of the Hollywood Community Police Station, approximately 2.727 crimes per 1,000 residents (0.027 crime per capita) were reported in the Hollywood Community Police Station service area and 27 crimes per 1,000 residents (0.027 crime per capita) were experienced citywide.

Based on the number of sworn officers staffing the Hollywood Community Police Station (352 sworn officers), the 2016 ratio of crimes per officer was 12.6 crimes per officer. In comparison, the citywide (9,845 sworn officers) ratio is 10.9 crimes per officer. As a result, the Hollywood Area has a higher crime per officer ratio when compared to the citywide ratio.

**Table IV.K.2-1  
2016 YTD Crimes – Hollywood Area and Citywide**

	<b>Crimes</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Crimes per 1,000 Persons</b>	<b>Crimes Per Capita</b>
Hollywood Area	4,450	165,000	<u>2.727</u>	0.027
Citywide <sup>a</sup>	107,570	3,962,726	27	<del>0.27</del> <b>0.027</b>
<i>a LAPD, COMPSTAT Unit, COMPSTAT Citywide Profile 10/16/16-11/12/16. Source: Correspondence from Officer Christopher Gibson, Community Relationship Division, Los Angeles Police Department, dated January 12, 2017.</i>				