

Communication from Public

Name: Joyce Silverman

Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 10:19 AM

Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I live near the intersection of Hazen Drive and Bowmont Drive in the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest area. I'm opposed to the Ad Hoc Committee map because it removed our area from CD5 and put it in CD4. Please return us to CD5. Our neighbors spent hours listening to and making public comments at the Commission's Zoom meetings. We submitted letters of public comment, and based on the merits, the Commission placed us in CD5 where we belong. This new map undoes of all that work and ignores principles of redistricting, our expressed communities of interest, and the natural boundaries of Mulholland Drive and Briarcrest. The Briarcrest eastern boundary achieves important goals for the community and city: It matches the service area for the fire department, It matches the service area for the police department, Is consistent with and matches the natural topographic boundary at the edge of a watershed, And puts our community in the same council district as our schools, congregations and cultural institutions. In short, the recent removal of our area from CD5 and placement into the new configuration of CD4 was a mistake. Please help us secure representation that reflects our shared communities of interest and issues and restore the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest and restore our area to CD5 Respectfully submitted, Bennett Silverman

Communication from Public

Name: jgo

Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 11:47 AM

Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I have lived in the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest district for decades. I oppose the Ad Hoc Committee map because it removed our area from CD5 and put it in CD4. I am asking you to please return us to CD5. This is where we belong. As a coalition, we have spent hours listening to and making public comments at the Commission's Zoom meetings. We have also submitted letters of public comment. We were heard by the Commission which decided to put us in CD5, which is where we have been and where we belong. This new map undoes all our work. This decision and new map overlook the principles of redistricting, our expressed communities of interest, and the natural boundaries of Mulholland Drive and Briarcrest. The Briarcrest eastern boundary achieves essential goals for the community and city: It matches the service area for the fire department, It matches the service area for the police department, It is consistent with and matches the natural topographic boundary at the edge of a watershed, Puts our community in the same council district as our schools, congregations, and cultural institutions. As you can see moving us out of CD5 and into the new configuration of CD4 is clearly a mistake. Would you please help us with a secure representation that reflects our shared communities of interest and issues? Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Bennett Silverman

Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 10:14 AM

Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I live near the intersection of Hazen Drive and Bowmont Drive in the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest area. I'm opposed to the Ad Hoc Committee map because it removed our area from CD5 and put it in CD4. Please return us to CD5. Our neighbors spent hours listening to and making public comments at the Commission's Zoom meetings. We submitted letters of public comment, and based on the merits, the Commission placed us in CD5 where we belong. This new map undoes of all that work and ignores principles of redistricting, our expressed communities of interest, and the natural boundaries of Mulholland Drive and Briarcrest. The Briarcrest eastern boundary achieves important goals for the community and city: It matches the service area for the fire department, It matches the service area for the police department, Is consistent with and matches the natural topographic boundary at the edge of a watershed, And puts our community in the same council district as our schools, congregations and cultural institutions. In short, the recent removal of our area from CD5 and placement into the new configuration of CD4 was a mistake. Please help us secure representation that reflects our shared communities of interest and issues and restore the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest and restore our area to CD5 Respectfully submitted, Bennett Silverman

Communication from Public

Name: Simon Furie
Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 07:29 AM
Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I live in the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest district. I'm opposed to the Ad Hoc Committee map because it removed our area from CD5 and put it in CD4. Please return us to CD5. As a coalition, we have spent hours listening to and trying to make public comment at the Commission's Zoom meetings. We have submitted letters of public comment. And we were heard by the Commission which put in CD5 where we belong. This new map undoes of all that work. It overlooks the principles of redistricting, our expressed communities of interest and the natural boundaries of Mulholland Drive and Briarcrest. The Briarcrest eastern boundary achieves important goals for the community and city: It matches the service area for the fire department, It matches the service area for the police department, Is consistent with and matches the natural topographic boundary at the edge of a watershed, And puts our community in the same council district as our schools, congregations and cultural institutions. Surely moving us out of CD5 and into the new configuration of CD4 was a mistake. Please help us secure representation that reflects our shared communities of interest and issues. Thank you. Simon Furie

Communication from Public

Name: Emma Valentine

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 06:58 PM

Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest district. I'm opposed to the Ad Hoc Committee map because it removed our area from CD5 and put it in CD4. Please return us to CD5. As a coalition, we have spent hours listening to and trying to make public comment at the Commission's Zoom meetings. We have submitted letters of public comment. And we were heard by the Commission which put us in CD5 where we belong. This new map undoes all of that work. It overlooks the principles of redistricting, our expressed communities of interest and the natural boundaries of Mulholland Drive and Briarcrest. The Briarcrest eastern boundary achieves important goals for the community and city: It matches the service area for the fire department, It matches the service area for the police department, It is consistent with and matches the natural topographic boundary at the edge of a watershed, And it puts our community in the same council district as our schools, congregations and cultural institutions. Surely moving us out of CD5 and into the new configuration of CD4 was a mistake. Please help us secure representation that reflects our shared communities of interest and issues. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 08:16 AM

Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I live in the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest district. I'm opposed to the Ad Hoc Committee map because it removed our area from CD5 and put it in CD4. Please return us to CD5. As a coalition, we have spent hours listening to and trying to make public comment at the Commission's Zoom meetings. We have submitted letters of public comment. And we were heard by the Commission which put in CD5 where we belong. This new map undoes of all that work. It overlooks the principles of redistricting, our expressed communities of interest and the natural boundaries of Mulholland Drive and Briarcrest. The Briarcrest eastern boundary achieves important goals for the community and city: It matches the service area for the fire department, It matches the service area for the police department, Is consistent with and matches the natural topographic boundary at the edge of a watershed, And puts our community in the same council district as our schools, congregations and cultural institutions. Surely moving us out of CD5 and into the new configuration of CD4 was a mistake. Please help us secure representation that reflects our shared communities of interest and issues. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 10:01 PM

Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I live in Harvard Heights in CD10. I don't see any common in CD1 with historical Harvard Heights. Very strange move to different category. I don't see how Historic Harvard Heights can apply to CD1 areas which are mostly commercial and apartments, and office buildings. It doesn't make any sense to residents in Harvard Heights and I don't see any better future with CD1. Harvard Heights should belong to CD10.

Communication from Public

Name: Lori Furie
Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 08:45 PM
Council File No: 20-0668

Comments for Public Posting: I live in the Franklin Canyon/Coldwater/Bowmont/Hazen/Briarcrest district. I'm opposed to the Ad Hoc Committee map because it removed our area from CD5 and put it in CD4. Please return us to CD5. As a coalition, we have spent hours listening to and trying to make public comment at the Commission's Zoom meetings. We have submitted letters of public comment. And we were heard by the Commission which put in CD5 where we belong. This new map undoes of all that work. It overlooks the principles of redistricting, our expressed communities of interest and the natural boundaries of Mulholland Drive and Briarcrest. The Briarcrest eastern boundary achieves important goals for the community and city: It matches the service area for the fire department, It matches the service area for the police department, Is consistent with and matches the natural topographic boundary at the edge of a watershed, And puts our community in the same council district as our schools, congregations and cultural institutions. Surely moving us out of CD5 and into the new configuration of CD4 was a mistake. Please help us secure representation that reflects our shared communities of interest and issues. Thank you.