

Your Community Impact Statement has been successfully submitted to City Council and Committees.

If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at NCsupport@lacity.org.

This is an automated response, please do not reply to this email.

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: West Hills Neighborhood Council

Name: Anthony C. Searce

Phone Number: 818-357-4946

Email: anthony.searce@westhillsnc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(18) Nay(0) Abstain(1) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 11/19/2020

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 07/03/2021

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 20-0859

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: This four-part motion requests: (1) the City Attorney to determine whether former Councilmember Jose Huizar violated CA Government Code Section 1090, which prohibits public officials from having a personal financial interest in contracts they enter into in their official capacity on the City's behalf AND Section 1092, which provides that a contract that violates Section 1090 may be avoided by any party; (2) the Council to instruct the Chief Legislative Analyst, in consultation with the City Attorney and the Departments of Planning and Building and Safety, to recommend suspension of any certificate of occupancy (COO) and reconsider any and all discretionary approvals or projects directly or indirectly referenced in the Federal indictments of Huizar and any other project where discretionary approval or entitlement was induced by Huizar's illegal activity; (3) the City Attorney to determine if the City should pursue any civil remedies against firms or individuals referenced in the Federal indictments of both Huizar and Englander for depriving or conspiring to deprive the public and the City of the right to the honest services of City employees, including any potential causes of action for recovery of foreseeable economic harm; and (4) the Council request the City Attorney to draft an ordinance to prohibit any property owner or developer whom a court has ruled to have induced or conspired to violate Section 1090 or engaged in other criminal conduct to defraud the City from seeking and obtaining any future discretionary approval or entitlement from the City

File # :	20 - 0859
Title :	Motion re Huizar Termination Contracts
Type :	Motion
City/State :	Los Angeles, CA

Summary :	<p>This four-part motion requests:</p> <p>(1) the City Attorney to determine whether former Councilmember Jose Huizar violated CA Government Code Section 1090, which prohibits public officials from having a personal financial interest in contracts they enter into in their official capacity on the City’s behalf AND Section 1092, which provides that a contract that violates Section 1090 may be avoided by any party;</p> <p>(2) the Council to instruct the Chief Legislative Analyst, in consultation with the City Attorney and the Departments of Planning and Building and Safety, to recommend suspension of any certificate of occupancy (COO) and reconsider any and all discretionary approvals or projects directly or indirectly referenced in the Federal indictments of Huizar and any other project where discretionary approval or entitlement was induced by Huizar’s illegal activity;</p> <p>(3) the City Attorney to determine if the City should pursue any civil remedies against firms or individuals referenced in the Federal indictments of both Huizar and Englander for depriving or conspiring to deprive the public and the City of the right to the honest services of City employees, including any potential causes of action for recovery of foreseeable economic harm; and</p> <p>(4) the Council request the City Attorney to draft an ordinance to prohibit any property owner or developer whom a court has ruled to have induced or conspired to violate Section 1090 or engaged in other criminal conduct to defraud the City from seeking and obtaining any future discretionary approval or entitlement from the City.</p>
-----------	--

Type :	
--------	--

GR Position :	Motion in support to submit a CIS supporting the four motions stated in the summary
Vote :	Unanimous 6-0

“YES” Vote:	Means you agree that these four steps will evaluate if there were any illegal acts of Huizar and Englander in violation of Sections 1090 and 1092. If so, then remedies for reversing those illegal acts and seeking civil remedies against any individuals or firms mentioned in the Federal indictment and preventing those wrongdoers from future entitlements or approvals from the City will be determined and drafted.
-------------	--

“NO” Vote:	Means you do NOT agree that any of these four steps to remedy any violations of Sections 1090 and 1092 need to be undertaken.
------------	---