



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 20-1469-S1

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Fri, Jan 17, 2025 at 5:04 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, Jasmin.SanLuis@lacity.org

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: PICO

Name: Jessica Lund

Email: Jesslund@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(15) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 01/15/2025

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 01/18/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, Board of Transportation Commissioners

Council File Number: 20-1469-S1

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: PICO NC SUPPORTS COUNCIL RESOLUTION # 20-1469-S1, which would direct city departments and officials to improve procedures to notify the public information about closure of multimodal transportation routes and to ensure that safe alternative routes are provided for all multimodal transportation routes impacted by closures and FURTHER requests that the City Council go further to ensure safety on such routes.

Ref:MSG11730504



P.I.C.O. NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

PO Box 351329
Los Angeles, CA 90035
www.PICONC.com
EMAIL: info@piconc.com



- COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File # 20-1469-S1

Title: Temporary Closures/Active Transportation Facilities/Transportation Network Improvement/Public Right-of-Way Reservation System/Proposed Policies and Procedures

Position: SUPPORT and FURTHER requesting that the City Council do more to ensure safety on multimodal transportation routes especially those impacted by closures

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, the P.I.C.O. Neighborhood Council ("PICO N.C.") supports efforts to inform the public about closures of sidewalks, bike lanes, bike paths, and bike routes and to mitigate those closures. Thus, PICO NC supports # 20-1469-S1 and applauds the City Council for passing it. PICO NC **further requests** that the City Council do even more to ensure public safety on multimodal transportation routes.

Whether for construction in the public right-of-way, private construction, events, or other reasons, closures of active transportation facilities (like bike lanes) are disruptive and potentially dangerous. Mapping applications have not historically consistently reported pedestrian and bicycle closures like they do road closures for motor vehicles. Detours, if planned at all, can be poorly signed or not signed at all and can direct vulnerable multimodal transportation route users to use alternative routes that are significantly less safe than the disrupted routes. Closure of bike routes in the city has even, at times, forced pedestrians and cyclists into motor-vehicle lanes—an incredibly dangerous situation. And when detours on closed bike/pedestrian paths-of-travel are actually signed, those signs themselves often further block sidewalks and bike lanes.

With the Olympic games, World Cup, and other large events coming to the region, better notification protocols for temporary closure of bike lanes and sidewalks are needed now more than ever especially with the City's commitments to its climate goals in connection with such events and in general.

For these reasons, PICO NC supports requiring advance notice of closures of alternative transportation routes and wider distribution of those notices, including publicly accessible online maps (including, but not limited to, via Google maps, Apple maps, the TAP app, the "Love to Ride" app, and the Strava app), informing community groups, Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Committees, and Neighborhood Councils, and through online communications. PICO NC also supports protocols for ample, safely placed signage

and temporary, well-protected bicycle and pedestrian routes for pedestrians and cyclists to be able to safely avoid and/or be able to detour around closures, even if doing so requires reallocating more motor vehicle lanes to such detours.

For the foregoing reasons, PICO NC supports #20-1469-S1 and applauds the City Council for passing it. Moreover, PICO NC requests that the City Council do even more through additional motions and actions to ensure safety on multimodal transportation routes especially those impacted by closures.