

Your Community Impact Statement has been successfully submitted to City Council and Committees.

If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at NCsupport@lacity.org.

This is an automated response, please do not reply to this email.

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: West Los Angeles Neighborhood Council

Name: Jay Ross

Phone Number:

Email: jross@wlanc.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(9) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 01/27/2021

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 02/02/2021

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 21-0002-S21

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: At the Jan. 27, 2021, meeting of the West Los Angeles Sawtelle N.C., the Board of Directors voted, 9-0-0, to oppose Senate Bill 10 (Wiener), and support the resolution in CF 21-0002-S21 (Koretz), calling for the City to oppose SB 10, and authorize the Chair to submit Community Impact Statements in the future. 1. City Council file 21-0002-S21. Facts and background: 1. The City's Transit-Oriented Communities program provides density bonuses as a trade for inclusion of affordable housing. Findings and justifications: 1. The City's TOC program already complies with the intent and goals of SB 10, and therefore, SB 10 is not needed in the City. 2. SB 10 may violate the California Constitution (Art. II, Sec. 10(c)) and principles of local control by allowing local governments to upzone single family residential properties and speed approval processes for increased density/multi-unit housing, without stakeholder involvement and by overriding community-driven local restrictions on adopting zoning ordinances, including restrictions enacted by voter initiatives. 3. SB 10 may violate the California Coastal Act (Public Resources Code §§30000 et seq.) by failing to exempt parcels within the Coastal zone and by allowing by-right/expedited approval of development projects with up to 10 dwelling units on properties that are upzoned under the bill, including projects within the Coastal zone which require discretionary review under the Coastal Act. 4. SB 10 may violate the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code §§ 21065 and 21080) and shortcuts required CEQA review by declaring preemptively that an ordinance by a local government (i.e., a majority of the current or future City Council) to upzone a single family residential property pursuant to the bill is not a "project" under CEQA, without consideration of whether the ordinance in a given case is "capable of causing a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect change in the environment"