RE: RENEWED APPEAL FOR TRANSPARENT ACTION and SUPPORT of HARRIS- DAWSON/BONIN/RODRIGUEZ MOTION (File # 22-0987)

Dear Los Angeles City Councilmembers:

I urge you to support the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. We thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference – Southern California and Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by any individual who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council's hastily appointed, temporary replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10’s representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

We strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. **The District's constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.**

As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios.”
Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly on a City that values democracy, transparency and due process.

We encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility, but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward that is transparent and fair.

Sincerely,

Al Naipo
Concerned Citizen
Dear Members of the City Council:

RE: RENEWED APPEAL FOR TRANSPARENT ACTION and SUPPORT of HARRIS-DAWSON/BONIN/RODRIGUEZ MOTION (File # 22-0987)

We write to urge your support of the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. We thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference – Southern California and Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by any individual who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council’s hastily appointed, temporary replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10’s representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

We strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. **The District’s constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.**

As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential
appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios."

Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly on a City that values democracy, transparency, and due process.

We encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross-section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward.

Thanks,
Gloria Davis
Dear Members of the City Council:

RE: RENEWED APPEAL FOR TRANSPARENT ACTION and SUPPORT of HARRIS-DAWSON/BONIN/RODRIGUEZ MOTION (File # 22-0987)

We write to urge your support of the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. We thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference – Southern California and Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by any individual who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council’s hastily appointed, temporary replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10’s representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

We strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. The District’s constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.
As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios.”

Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly on a City that values democracy, transparency and due process.

We encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility, but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward.

Respectfully, Karen A Clark 213 344 9009
Homeowner and business owner CD 10
Co-owner The Barbara Morrison Performing Arts Center
Dear Members of the City Council:

RE: RENEWED APPEAL FOR TRANSPARENT ACTION and SUPPORT of HARRIS-DAWSON/BONIN/RODRIGUEZ MOTION (File # 22-0987)

We write to urge your support of the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. We thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by any individual who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council's hastily appointed, temporary replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10's representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

We strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. The District’s constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.

As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios.”

Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly on a City that values democracy, transparency and due process.

I encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility, but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward.
"I have come to see, we knew not the smallest fraction of what there was to know." - Joan Didion
Dear Members of the City Council:

RE: RENEWED APPEAL FOR TRANSPARENT ACTION and SUPPORT of HARRIS-DAWSON/ BONIN/RODRIGUEZ MOTION (File # 22-0987)

We write to urge your support of the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. We thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference – Southern California and Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by anyone who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council’s hastily appointed, temporary replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10’s representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

We strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. The District’s constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.

As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios.”
Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly on a City that values democracy, transparency and due process.

We encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility, but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward.

G. Juan Johnson
1522 Hi Point St 9
Los Angeles CA 90035
323-807-3099

References:

Friday August 26, 2022

Los Angeles- The plaintiff is a Black tenant. The defendants are Power Property Management Inc. and Hi Point 1522 LLC. The Plaintiff’s complaint asks for damages due to lack of intercom repair and denial of secured tandem parking, and on the grounds the rent agreement entitles him (and roommate) to repairs and secured tandem parking, and that he has been damaged.

The Superior Court Judge Emma Castro has consistently basically denied the Plaintiff any relief and sided with the landlord and management company. The court ruling was June 30, 2022, small claims Los Angeles Case 21STSC04819.

Why should a Black tenant be angry?

Why should a Black tenant seek entitled housing services, contractual benefits, and equal protection of the law? If the Judge Castro is authorized to murder every Black man in American, she should not be a Judge.

Isn’t an intercom for the purposes of health and safety? Isn’t secured parking for purposes of health and safety? Since 2014, the landlord has been enriched by over $117,000 rent monies from Black tenants yet that is not enough money to fix the intercom or provide extra parking. (There are 18 one bedrooms and 27 parking stalls).

Parties to this matter include Walter Barratt and Hi Point Apts LLC (former owner), Power Property Management Inc. and employees are Brent Parsons, Thomas Khammar, Jackie Gallardo, Jeanette Conway, Alva Corodo, Fidel Medina, Joel Murrillo, Javier Guevarra, Liliano Morales, Edi Hernandez, Justice Walker, Nisi Walton, Francisco Rubio; Hi Point 1522 LLC (new owner). The court Executive officer is Sherri R. Carter and the Presiding Judge is Eric C. Taylor. There have been a few persons in the news that have accused the Los Angeles Superior Court system of being racist.

I think the Judge Castro has accepted a bribe from the property owners.

The Confusing Rulings of the Judge

Case 19STSC14394 was filed 12/23/2019. Johnson v. Power Property Management and Hi Point Apts LLC, et al. Due to COVID effects and other delays, the case was heard July 2, 2021. (During that entire time, plaintiff was without intercom service or tandem parking). The defendants filed a cross complaint for $10,000. The court denied damages to Plaintiff as well as Defendants. The court ruling states “dismissed without prejudice”.

(Editor note: “Dismissed without prejudice” means there was no decision on the merits of the case and that the party can sue again and have the same case heard all over again. It is my contention that the Judge is not only Racist but also incompetent of the law.)

Case 21STSC04819 was filed 12/20/21. Johnson v Hi Point 1522, LLC, etc al. The case was heard around June 30, 2022. The Judge has been asked to order the repair of the intercom and order the assignment of
the tandem parking stall. The Judge was asked in writing to recognize there are laws that if proven will award money damages to tenants. I quote in part from the Judge ruling.

“Defendants Hi Point 1522 LLC, a corporation; Power Property Management, Inc. A Corporation, do not owe the plaintiff Geary J. Johnson any money on Plaintiffs claim. Other: The majority of Plaintiffs claim, herein, were previously ruled on in case No. 19STSC14394. I presided over that trial and entered Judgement for the Defendants. On this claim, the Plaintiff has alleged a continuing time line set forth in 19STSC14394: 10-1-17 through 12-23-19 (see page 2, item 3 of the SC-100 in 19STSC14394. However, the evidence presented by the plaintiff AND defendants, and testimony in both cases leads the Court to conclude that this claim is barred by the legal doctrine of Res Judicata.”

In my opinion, the ruling means the defendants can be sued again, particularly for new damages and new contractual damages.

(Editor note: (not legal advice). In this case the defendants are not the same, thus res judicata does not apply; the claims for damages are not the same, therefore res judicata does not apply; the dates for damages are not the same, thus res judicata does not apply, etc. The Judge seems to not understand the meaning of her own ruling “dismissed without prejudice”; that ruling gave the Plaintiff the right to sue again on the same causes of action. The Judge is biased as well as incompetent.)

Case Wins in Favor of Plaintiff Johnson

1. Defendants had cross- sued for $10,000 presumably in retaliation to stop plaintiff from complaining about housing services. Court (Castro) heard their unsigned complaint but denied them any monies. Case 19STSC14394. Also referred to in erroneous appeal “The court finds the plaintiff (tenant) did prevail”. (Judge Pro Tem John McNicholas).

2. Plaintiff sued Hi Point Apts LLC. Defendant left the courtroom before the matter could be heard. Judge ruled in favor of the Plaintiff and awarded $569.99 against Hi Point Apts, LLC. This was heard on appeal by Judge Emma Castro and ruling may have been reversed. (Editor: How do you let a person testify on appeal who did not show up for the original matter and was not questioned under oath? Court corruption and bias.) Case 21STSC04574. (2/16/22).

(Editor note: I will ask this Judge thru the Presiding Judge Eric Taylor, that Emma Castro be recused from hearing any future cases on this matter due to her racial bias, bias in favor of the defendants, and incompetence. I will be providing full analysis of this matter in a few days and separate page. I will provide a link here.)

(Reference from Editor:)

42 U.S. Code § 1981 – Equal rights under the law

(a) Statement of equal rights

All persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall have the same right in every State and Territory to make and enforce contracts, to sue, be parties, give evidence, and to the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of persons and property as is enjoyed by white citizens, and shall be subject to like punishment, pains, penalties, taxes, licenses, and exactions of every kind, and to no other.

(b) “Make and enforce contracts” defined

For purposes of this section, the term “make and enforce contracts” includes the making, performance, modification, and termination of contracts, and the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms, and conditions of the contractual relationship.

(c) Protection against impairment

The rights protected by this section are protected against impairment by nongovernmental discrimination and impairment under color of State law.


Murderous racist LA Judge favors murderous racist landlord
Lack of Integrity of the Judicial System

Murderous racist Judge and the murderous racist landlord

MONTH-TO-MONTH AGREEMENTS

A month-to-month agreement is a 30-day contract. Each time you pay rent, you renew the contract for another 30 days. https://dcba.lacounty.gov/portfolio/rental-agreements-and-leases/

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN/ HI POINT 1522 LLC:

I have read the court decision of June 30, 2022 for Superior Court Case 21STSC04819. The decision is neither factual nor is it in compliance with the law. The decision does not affect the rights and duties under the rent agreement and applicable laws.

For the month of July 2022, and thus far August 2022, I continue to be financially and otherwise damaged by your actions of not repairing the intercom system and not providing the entitled secured tandem parking stall. I bought a new intercom unit for the apt and office stationery items for which I will be sending you a bill shortly.

Unless this matter can be resolved rather quickly, I anticipate filing another court action against you.
Dear Nisa Walton:

Re Termite Inspection, et al.

No, your message does not find me well because our intercom is not working which impacts our ability to receive guests as well as certain types of deliveries; I am also damaged by not having parking for my vehicle as I have had to incur the costs of ride sharing in order to secure a parking spot and not be impacted by street sweeping, etc.

I received no communications from you on August 18. I have received no communications from you on August 22. Nor did my phone record any voicemails from you on those dates. No calls or voicemails were received from Bug Buster. I suggest you check are you using the correct phone number as listed below and as served upon you by the LA County Sheriff's Department. It is typical to fabricate calls that were not really made.

I did receive a call today at 10:59 am (to phone 323-807-3099) of which I respond here. Your call says the termite inspectors will be here tomorrow August 25 between the hours of 8 am - 1 p.m. I state that someone should be here since the intercom does not work and since the resident manager has had no contact in years with us, if she is still the manager. **I do not waive any rights to have the resident manager do her job to let the repair people into the unit**; someone (myself or roommate) will be here tomorrow as a courtesy.

I am copying the City Housing Department, Mayor and Council, and REAP departments since there has been no response to the recent code violation complaints (the city said they contacted you) and specifically the intercom system is still not useable in unit 9 and we have not been provided tandem parking. I note that your Thomas Khammar stated that in order to repair the intercom, the entire building will need to be rewired; as regards the parking stall, Khammar has stated that stall #8 is a tandem parking stall, thus he indicates we are entitled to a tandem parking stall but Power Property refuses to verify which is the tandem stall Khammar is alleging we are assigned to. We have also offered to owner Hi Point 1522 LLC to pay $50 month additional for the tandem parking but there has been no response. There are 18 one bedrooms here and parking for 27 cars. Kassandra Harris, resident manager, has indicated some tenants have "guest" parking but parking for guests is...
prohibited where there is tandem parking stalls. Your PPM has failed to give any legitimate business reason for the racist and KKK denial of housing services stated herein.

Please let me know will your tomorrow repair personnel be addressing the intercom repair and parking assignment. I have also offered to pay additional for repair of the intercom, but there has been no response from your office. Your lack of response and actions stated herein is a violation of the city Tenant Anti-Harassment Ordinance. Housing services are not discretionary as they are dependent on the rent agreement (as well as federal, state, and local laws) which states we are entitled to parking for 2 vehicles and maintenance "including but not limited to" the intercom system. If you are unsure of your obligations in this regard, please read the rent agreement. The law states that 35 days is a reasonable amount of time for repairs; it has been years since these housing issues, maintenance intercom and parking, have been pending. In a perfect world, free of racism from Whites, Blacks, Hispanics, Ethiopians, etc. I would only have to request housing services once, or at the most twice.

You are enriched every month by our substantial rent money, for which we still do not get the housing services we are entitled to.

All rights reserved.

Geary Juan Johnson

1522 Hi Point St 9

Los Angeles CA 90035

Phone 323-807-3099

A Ham-Jew-DNA-Kushite/Black male American

c: City clerk CPRA file:

Power Property Management

DFEH Case 202201-15997931 DFEH Case 202201-15807607

09e41e7459a05677911c@powerpropertygroup.mailer.appfolio.us

Brent Parsons at Power Property Management

brent@powerpropertygrp.com

Thomas Khammar at Power Property Management

thomas@powerpropertygrp.com

Kassandra Harris - resident manager at 1522 Hi Point St Apts 90035

highpoint1522@gmail.com

frontdesk@powerpropertygrp.com

frontdesk@powerpropertygrp.com

On Wednesday, August 24, 2022 at 10:58:51 AM PDT, Nisi Walton < nisi@powerpropertygrp.com > wrote:

Hi,

I hope this message finds you well,

This is Nisi with Power Property, contacting you regarding your request for termite treatment. We have reached out to you to schedule on August 18, 2022 but there was no response to the call. Bug Buster has reached out to schedule on August 22, 2022 and no response to the call. Amen pest Control and I have reached out to schedule on August 24, 2022 and no response to the call.

I have moved forward with scheduling Amen Pest Control to inspect/treat your unit tomorrow 8/25/22, arrival time is expected between 8am - 1pm. Please be available to provide access.

Please confirm the receipt of this email
Thank you,
NISI WALTON | PROPERTY SUPERVISOR 310-593-3955 Ext.60

property management | leasing | capital improvement | investments

powerpropertymanagement.com

Address | Mailing Address: PO Box 472 Culver City, CA 90232
RE: Urgent Action Needed!

1 message

m.d.robertson@att.net <m.d.robertson@att.net>  Mon, Aug 29, 2022 at 3:33 PM

To: m.d.robertson@att.net, gilbert.cedillo@lacity.org, Debby.Kim@lacity.org, Paul.Krekorian@lacity.org, Karo.torossian@lacity.org, bob.blumenfield@lacity.org, Lisa.hansen@lacity.org, councilmember.raman@lacity.org, andrea.conant@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, Joan.Pelico@lacity.org, nury.martinez@lacity.org, Alexis.Wesson@lacity.org, mrodriguez@lacity.org, Christine.jerian@lacity.org, mhdb@lacity.org, Solomon.rivera@lacity.org, curtis.earnest@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, mike.bonin@lacity.org, krista.kline@lacity.org, councilmember.lee@lacity.org, Hannah.lee@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org, Jeanne.min@lacity.org, kevin.deleon@lacity.org, Jennifer.barraza@lacity.org, joe.buscaino@lacity.org, Jenny.chavez@lacity.org, heather.hutt@lacity.org, kimani.black@lacity.org, mandy.morales@lacity.org, erika.pulst@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, sharon.tso@lacity.org, holly.wolcott@lacity.org, admin@cd10voices.com

Dear Members of the City Council:

RE: RENEWED APPEAL FOR TRANSPARENT ACTION and SUPPORT of HARRIS-DAWSON/BONIN/RODRIGUEZ MOTION (File # 22-0987)

I write to urge your support of the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. I thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference – Southern California and Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by any individual who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council’s hastily appointed, temporary replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10’s representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

I strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. The District’s constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.

As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios.”

Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly on a City that values democracy, transparency and due process.

I encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility, but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward.

Yours truly,
Mark J. Robertson, Sr.
Concerned CD-10 Resident
Dear Members of the City Council:

We write to urge your support of the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. We thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference – Southern California and Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by any individual who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council’s hastily appointed, temporary replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10’s representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

We strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. The District’s constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.

As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios.”

Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly
on a City that values democracy, transparency and due process.

We encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility, but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward.

With hope,

David W. Louie
+1 (213) 718-8838
david.louie@cbrela.com
Dear Members of the City Council:

We write to urge your support of the motion brought forth this past Friday by Council Members Harris-Dawson, Bonin and Rodriguez. We thank them for heeding our Appeal For Transaction Action, forwarded to the entire City Council on Wed., August 24th.

Prior to that, the Council was afforded two community-driven and constituent-informed reports: preliminary (March 22nd) and final (July 26th) entitled A Framework for Transparent and Accountable Representation.

For months, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference – Southern California and Council District 10 (CD10) voters argued in court that the Tenth District deserves better than being represented by any individual who was not legally eligible to serve.

Now that a court has issued a preliminary injunction (August 22nd) barring the Council’s hastily appointed, temporary
replacement from unlawfully serving as CD10’s representative, he has suddenly chosen to “resign” (August 25th).

We strongly believe a more transparent and accountable selection process must be used if a new temporary appointee is selected by the Council. The Council has already denied us the full expression of our hard fought right to vote. **The District's constituents and stakeholders have endured more than enough harm and disrespect.**

As stated in the motion calling for transparency, “Before considering or voting on any potential appointment to fill the seat, the City Council needs a detailed public discussion of the full range of available scenarios.”

Respectfully, there seems to be a non-inclusive rush to judgment again. Isn’t that what led to a court action that did not favor the City Council? In fact, the Council’s hasty missteps reflect poorly on a City that values democracy, transparency and due process.

We encourage the Council to neither act hastily nor with hostility, but instead to reach out and work with a broad cross section of District 10 constituents and stakeholders to find a suitable community-driven path forward.

In The Struggle,
Bruce A Boyden, Chair
Early Learning Alliance