



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Community Impact Statement - Submission Details

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Thu, Mar 7, 2024 at 9:01 AM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: North Westwood

Name: Jacob Wasserman

Email: jacobnwwnc@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(17) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 03/06/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 03/07/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 22-1196-S1

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The North Westwood Neighborhood Council supports the creation of an independent redistricting commission. It is a necessary and overdue step for the City Council and Los Angeles Unified School Board Districts. It is time for the City to align with the County and the State and have a truly independent redistricting commission: one with members not selected by officeholders and with the ability to draw lines without City Council meddling. The current redistricting commission is not independent at all, with appointees named by current officeholders and subject to removal at any time, even during the process. Moreover, the City Council gets the final say and can (and did) amend the final map. The 2021 redistricting process was polarized and politicized, with many neighborhoods ignored and many decisions made without adequate transparency. Furthermore, we strongly believe that the City Council size needs to be expanded, as part of this

motion and potentially the subsequent ballot measure. It is simply not possible for 15 council members to govern 4 million people. Each councilmember is supposed to represent over a quarter million residents. For comparison, in New York City (51 districts), each councilperson represents ~160,000-170,000 people. In Chicago (50 wards), each alderman represents ~54,000 people. Too large to govern each area well, LA Council districts have created an environment rife with opportunity for corruption, concentrating power in so few hands (as evidenced by a fifth of our council being indicted in the last decade). Finally, the large size of council districts often leaves neighborhood councils as the expected venue for constituent services, even though they lack the budget and staff needed to address them in the way a city council office could.

Ref:MSG9952453



CIS_Independent Redistricting.pdf

139K



- COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File: [21-1472](#)

Title: November 2022 Ballot / Ballot Measure / City Charter Amendment / Independent Redistricting Commission

Position: For

Council File: [22-1196-S1](#)

Title: Independent Redistricting Commission / City Charter Amendment / Ballot Measure / November 2022

Position: For

Summary:

The North Westwood Neighborhood Council supports the creation of an independent redistricting commission. It is a necessary and overdue step for the City Council and Los Angeles Unified School Board Districts. It is time for the City to align with the County and the State and have a truly independent redistricting commission: one with members not selected by officeholders and with the ability to draw lines without City Council meddling.

The current redistricting commission is not independent at all, with appointees named by current officeholders and subject to removal at any time, even during the process. Moreover, the City Council gets the final say and can (and did) amend the final map. The 2021 redistricting process was polarized and politicized, with many neighborhoods ignored and many decisions made without adequate transparency.

Furthermore, we strongly believe that the City Council size needs to be expanded, as part of this motion and potentially the subsequent ballot measure. It is simply not possible for 15 council members to govern 4 million people. Each councilmember is supposed to represent over a quarter million residents. For comparison, in New York City (51 districts), each councilperson represents ~160,000-170,000 people. In Chicago (50 wards), each alderman represents ~54,000

people. Too large to govern each area well, LA Council districts have created an environment rife with opportunity for corruption, concentrating power in so few hands (as evidenced by a fifth of our council being indicted in the last decade). Finally, the large size of council districts often leaves neighborhood councils as the expected venue for constituent services, even though they lack the budget and staff needed to address them in the way a city council office could.