



Community Impact Statement - Submission Details

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, ethics.policy@lacity.org

Tue, Aug 15, 2023 at 1:08 AM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Northridge East

Name: Glenn Bailey

Email: GlennBaileyNENC@yahoo.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(10) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 06/21/2023

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 08/15/2023

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, City Ethics Commission

Council File Number: 23-0359

Agenda Date: 06/13/2023

Item Number: City Council agenda #3

Summary: The Northridge East Neighborhood Council supports the motion relative to instructing the Chief Legislative Analyst, in consultation with the Ethics Commission and relevant City departments, as needed, to report on the feasibility of establishing a Democracy Vouchers program in the City of Los Angeles. The report should include: A demographic and neighborhood-by-neighborhood analysis of donors in City elections. An analysis of the effectiveness of Democracy Vouchers programs in other cities, such as Seattle. Recommendations for the establishment of a Democracy Vouchers program in the City, taking into account: The degree to which a Democracy Vouchers program would increase the share of campaign money coming from within the City. The degree to which a Democracy Vouchers program would increase the share of campaign money coming from people, as opposed to special interest groups. The degree to which a Democracy

Vouchers program would make campaign financing more equitable by boosting donations from ZIP codes in the City with a high percentage of people of color. The possibility of creating a hybrid public financing program based upon Democracy Vouchers where raising a certain amount of funds through Democracy Vouchers could result in the award of a grant, or multiple grants, to achieve full public financing, similar to the gubernatorial races in Arizona, Massachusetts and Maine. Whether a Democracy Vouchers program would diversify the demographics of candidates for public office in the City. Whether a Democracy Vouchers program would boost political engagement within the City. Range of options for candidate requirements, including but not limited to public debate requirements, contribution and spending limits, and disclosure agreements. Safeguards to prevent potential fraud and abuse. Staffing requirements. Appropriate budget size and funding options for successful implementation. How a Democracy Vouchers program should work with City's current matching funds program.

Ref:MSG8828055