

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
CITY CLERK

PETTY F. SANTOS
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

City of Los Angeles
CALIFORNIA



KAREN BASS
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Election Division
Space 300
555 Ramirez Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 978-0444
FAX: (213) 978-0376

JINNY PAK
DIVISION MANAGER

clerk.lacity.gov

October 26, 2023

The Los Angeles City Council
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR
FOOTHILL BOULEVARD AND TUJUNGA VALLEY STREET NO. 2 LIGHTING DISTRICT
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 23-0900-S36

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Foothill Boulevard and Tujunga Valley Street No. 2 Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballots delivered to my office by the final hearing date of October 24, 2023.

The proposed assessment district failed because it received a weighted majority of "no" ballots as defined in Article XIII D (Proposition 218) of the State Constitution. As a result, the City may not impose an assessment for the improvement and maintenance of lighting in the proposed district. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

In accordance with Council policy adopted on December 19, 1997 (CF 97-0843) and the report of the Board of Public Works adopted by the Council on May 9, 1998:

1. FIND that a majority protest exists in the Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District and therefore the proposed assessment cannot be enacted.
2. ADOPT the abandoning Ordinance attached to the Council File.
3. INSTRUCT the Director, Bureau of Street Lighting, to assure that the streetlights are not installed or are removed from service if previously installed, in accordance with Council policy.

Sincerely,

For
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) SS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that one ballot was submitted on or before October 24, 2023, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Foothill Boulevard and Tujunga Valley Street No. 2 Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 23-0900-S36. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 26th day of October 2023.

Sincerely,



For
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Foothill Boulevard and Tujunga Valley Street No. 2 Lighting District**

**Election # 5522
Council File # 23-0900-S36**

Final Public Hearing October 24, 2023

Ballot Tally

Assmnt No.	County APN	Owner	Assessment Weight	Ballot Vote Y/N	Weighted Vote Yes	No	No Vote/ Challenged
1	2550-014-007	ALL NATIONS CHURCH	\$1,589.48		Not Cast	Not Cast	
2	2550-014-005	YOAKUM, MICHAEL E SR TR MICHAEL E YOAKUM TRUST AND FLYNN CLEO M DECD EST OF	\$119.21		Not Cast	Not Cast	
3	2550-014-001	ALL NATIONS CHURCH	\$349.68		Not Cast	Not Cast	
4	2550-011-019	HOLST,HOADY L TR HOLST FAMILY TRUST ET AL	\$278.16	N		\$ 278.16	
5	2550-011-018	HOLST,HOADY L TR HOLST FAMILY TRUST ET AL	\$305.97		Not Cast	Not Cast	
		TOTAL	\$2,642.50		\$ -	\$ 278.16	
5		TOTAL VOTES		1	0	1	0

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
 Foothill Boulevard and Tujunga Valley Street No. 2 Lighting District**

**Election # 5522
 Council File # 23-0900-S36**

Final Public Hearing October 24, 2023

Voting/Ballot Summary			
Vote	Weighted Total	Assessment Percent of Votes Cast	Number of Ballots
Yes	\$0.00	0.00%	0
No	\$278.16	100.00%	1
No Vote			0
Challenged			0

Assessment District Summary			
	Total	Voted	Percent
Parcels	5	1	20%
Assessment	\$2,642.50	\$278.16	11%