



clerk CIS &lt;clerk.cis@lacity.org&gt;

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0002-S3 - Agenda Item Number: 8(b)

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Sat, Aug 24, 2024 at 4:16 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: P.I.C.O.

Name: Brad Kane

Email: [bkane@kanelaw.la](mailto:bkane@kanelaw.la)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(7) Nay(4) Abstain(1) Ineligible(1) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 06/12/2024

Type of NC Board Action: Against

### Impact Information

Date: 08/24/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-0002-S3

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 06/12/2024

Item Number: 8(b)

Summary: Council File 24-0002-S3, AB 3085 Title: Illegal Street Takeovers / Comprehensive Enforcement / Impoundment / Forfeiture Position: Oppose Summary: Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, The PICO Neighborhood Council ("NC") OPPOSES Motion #24-0002-S3 to support AB 3085. Although the PICO NC prioritizes the health and safety of stakeholders and Angelenos more broadly, the PICO NC does not think that increased law enforcement and harsher

criminal penalties are the best way to achieve the important goals of making our communities safer. Specifically, with respect to illegal street takeovers, existing California law already imposes a variety of penalties on offenders: (1) imposing 2 points onto the driving record of people engaging in street takeovers; and (2) starting in 2025, existing law already provides for suspending offenders' driver's licenses for anywhere from 90 days to 6 months. Additionally, as written, AB 3085 would remove important options that the PICO NC supports for reducing criminalization of community members through pre-conviction diversion programs. The PICO NC believes that additional law enforcement is not the answer and urges legislative bodies to consider other approaches to reducing street takeovers including road-diets, traffic circles, public transportation solutions, and expanded arts, social, and athletic programs to give our young people meaningful and rewarding alternatives to engaging in dangerous, anti-social behavior. PICO NC therefore opposes Motion # 24-0002-S3 in support of AB 3085 the City Council's motion requesting the City of Los Angeles to support AB 3085. Lisa Kaye President P.I.C.O. Neighborhood Council Board of Directors

Ref:MSG10986038



**2024-06-12 Street Takeover Penalties (Council File # 24-0002-S3).pdf**

118K



**P.I.C.O. NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL**  
PO Box 351329 Los Angeles, CA 90035  
[www.PICONC.com](http://www.PICONC.com)  
EMAIL: info@piconc.com



**PRESIDENT**  
Lisa Kaye

**VICE PRESIDENT**  
Jared Bogda

**SECRETARY**  
Aura Vasquez

**TREASURER**  
Ira Klein

**COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR**  
Brendan Nelson

---

Council File 24-0002-S3, AB 3085

Title: Illegal Street Takeovers / Comprehensive Enforcement / Impoundment / Forfeiture

Position: Oppose

Summary:

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, The PICO Neighborhood Council (“NC”) OPPOSES Motion #24-0002-S3 to support AB 3085. Although the PICO NC prioritizes the health and safety of stakeholders and Angelenos more broadly, the PICO NC does not think that increased law enforcement and harsher criminal penalties are the best way to achieve the important goals of making our communities safer. Specifically, with respect to illegal street takeovers, existing California law already imposes a variety of penalties on offenders: (1) imposing 2 points onto the driving record of people engaging in street takeovers; and (2) starting in 2025, existing law already provides for suspending offenders’ driver’s licenses for anywhere from 90 days to 6 months.

Additionally, as written, AB 3085 would remove important options that the PICO NC supports for reducing criminalization of community members through pre-conviction diversion programs. The PICO NC believes that additional law enforcement is not the answer and urges legislative bodies to consider other approaches to reducing street takeovers including road-diets, traffic circles, public transportation solutions, and expanded arts, social, and athletic programs to give our young people meaningful and rewarding alternatives to engaging in dangerous, anti-social behavior.

PICO NC therefore opposes Motion # 24-0002-S3 in support of AB 3085 the City Council’s motion requesting the City of Los Angeles to support AB 3085.

Lisa Kaye  
President  
P.I.C.O. Neighborhood Council  
Board of Directors