



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0867

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org
Cc: Amcorona.punc@yahoo.com

Sun, Oct 20, 2024 at 8:28 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Pico Union

Name: Aurora Corona

Email: Amcorona.punc@yahoo.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(11) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(1) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 10/07/2024

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 10/21/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-0867

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 10/20/2024

Item Number:

Summary: The Pico Union Neighborhood Council (PUNC) strongly opposes the proposal for limited terms for Neighborhood Council members and the mandate for a dedicated youth seat on all councils. See attached letter.

Ref:MSG11289591



CIS - Opposing CF 24-0867.pdf
204K



Executive Board Members

Jay Park, President
Jovita Carrillo, Vice President
Aurora Corona, Secretary
Daniel A. Perez, Treasurer

Council Board Members

Hernan Molina Alejandra Delfin
Andrea Castro Karena Godoy
Young Kim TJ Spaur
Nery Larios Young Yi
Carrie Surtees

COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT

RE: Council File 24-0867

October 18, 2024

The Pico Union Neighborhood Council (PUNC) strongly opposes the proposal for limited terms for Neighborhood Council members and the mandate for a dedicated youth seat on all councils. Neighborhood Councils are essential for fostering community engagement and addressing local issues. Implementing term limits could disrupt continuity and diminish the valuable institutional knowledge that experienced members provide. The complexities of community governance often require long-term commitment and understanding that can only be cultivated over time. Frequent turnover may undermine the trust and collaboration we have worked hard to build within our neighborhoods.

While we recognize the importance of youth involvement in civic affairs, mandating a youth seat on every Neighborhood Council is not the appropriate solution. Each neighborhood possesses its own character and needs; a one-size-fits-all mandate for ninety-nine councils will not effectively capture the diverse perspectives within our communities. Instead of creating separate seats, we should encourage youth engagement through outreach and mentorship, allowing young voices to contribute meaningfully within existing structures.

Moreover, it is vital that Neighborhood Councils retain our rights under the City Charter to create our own positions and Bylaws, including decisions regarding Board term limits. We urge the City Council to reconsider this proposal, recognizing the individuality of each neighborhood and the need for flexible governance. The City Council should respect the autonomy of Neighborhood Councils, preserving it to foster stronger, more representative community leadership.

Respectfully,

Jay Park
President
Pico Union Neighborhood Council